



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,342	03/15/2004	Hyun Jin Hwang	12090-03USA	2316
JHK Law P.O. Box 1078 La Canada, CA 91012-1078	7590 07/13/2009		EXAMINER PANDE, SUCHIRA	
			ART UNIT 1637	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/13/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/801,342

**Applicant(s)**

HWANG ET AL.

**Examiner**

SUCHIRA PANDE

**Art Unit**

1637

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUCHIRA PANDE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph H. Kim.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 8, 20, 91 and 93.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The declaration filed by Applicant is persuasive in overcoming Malmquist reference. Hence the main outstanding issue left is the ODP of record of claims 8 and 20. Currently amended independent claims 91 and 93 are very similar to claim 8, hence ODP over the cited application will also apply to claims 91 and 93. Applicant will consider submitting a comprehensive disclaimer to address all the outstanding ODP issues.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Suchira Pande/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1637